CH 00K
3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE , AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. No. 3235 OF 2003

BETWEEN

PETITIONER : JAMES KINDO
AGED-43 YEARS
S/O SHRI M.KINDO.
POSTED AS -NAIB TAHSILDAR.
AT-TAHSIL OFFICE,PAMGARH
DISTRICT-JANJGIR-CHAMPA.
DISTRICT-JANJGIR-CHAMPA (C.G.)

P. R. No. 3053/03
Presented by Shri Pankaj Agrawal
dated 02.10.03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH
THROUGH THE SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
D.K.S.BHAWAN, RAIPUR.

filed
Codated
16/2054

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE -226 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS,PROHIBITION, SUITABLE DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERS.

CH 60K.
3

P. R. N
Presen
dated

R

Amended
copy A1

14.6.07

Attested A1

2. State of Madhya Pradesh
Through The Secretary
Department of Revenue
Wallabh Bhawan,
Bhopal (M.P.).

2.

3.

RECEIVED COPY.
CLERK TO A.G.

XI-HC-78

64

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.3235/ **सन् 2003**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	12-5-2005 On a mention being made, the matter is taken up for hearing. Mr. R.K. Kesharwani, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Sudhir Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh/ respondent No.1. Heard both the counsel. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking a prayer that since the departmental enquiry is pending against him for the last seven years, therefore, the same may be quashed and the respondents may be directed to give all benefits of promotion and consequential benefits to the petitioner. So far, no return has been filed on behalf of the State/ respondent No.1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is making a limited request that respondent No.1 be directed to ensure that the departmental enquiry is completed and finalized within one month, and the remaining reliefs, at this stage, he does not want to press. For such a direction, learned counsel for the State of Chhattisgarh/respondent No.1 has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and having regard to the fact that	

XI-HC-78

65

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... W.P. 3235/ ..... **सन् 2003**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Soma	charge sheet was served in the year 1997, almost eight years since then have elapsed and the enquiry has not been finalized, in the circumstances, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just proper and fair. Therefore, respondent No.1 is directed to decide and finalize the enquiry pending against the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. With the direction aforesaid the petition stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	